

## Decomposition matrices for the spin characters of $S_{26}$ Modulo 11

Ahmed H. Jassim and Marwa M. Jawad

*Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Basrah, Iraq*

E-mail: [ahmedhussein1981@ymail.com](mailto:ahmedhussein1981@ymail.com)

Doi 10.29072/basjs.20190208, Article inf., Received: 3/6/2019 Accepted: 13/8/2019 Published: 31/8/2019

### Abstract

We found in this paper the 11-decomposition matrices for the spin characters of  $S_{26}$ , which are a relationship between modular and ordinary characters

### 1. Introduction

For any group there are three kinds of characters ordinary, modular (for a given prime  $p$ ), and projective (for  $S_n$  called spin). The decomposition matrix is the relation between the ordinary and modular characters for a given prime  $p$  (see [1], [2]). Spin characters of  $S_n$  can be written as a liner combination with non-negative coefficients of the irreducible spin characters [2]. The case in  $n = 25$  found by M. M. Jawad [3]. In this paper we use the techniques as given in [4]. The matrix for this spin from degree (247,207)[2], [5]. There are 50 blocks, the blocks  $B_1, B_2$  are of defect two, the blocks  $B_3, \dots, B_{17}$  are of defect one the others of defect zero. The notations used in this section can be found in [6].

**Lemma (1).** Brauer trees of blocks  $B_3, B_4, \dots, B_{17}$  [7] are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 24,2 \rangle^* \langle 13,11,2 \rangle = \langle 13,11,2 \rangle' \langle 13,10,2,1 \rangle^* \langle 13,8,3,2 \rangle^* \langle 13,7,4,2 \rangle^* \langle 13,6,5,2 \rangle^*, \\ & \langle 23,2,1 \rangle \langle 13,12,1 \rangle \quad \backslash \quad \langle 12,8,3,2,1 \rangle \langle 12,7,4,2,1 \rangle \langle 12,6,5,2,1 \rangle, \\ & \langle 23,2,1 \rangle' \langle 13,12,1 \rangle' \quad / \quad \langle 12,11,2,1 \rangle^* \quad \backslash \quad \langle 12,8,3,2,1 \rangle' \langle 12,7,4,2,1 \rangle' \langle 12,6,5,2,1 \rangle', \\ & \langle 25,5 \rangle^* \langle 16,10 \rangle^* \langle 11,10,5 \rangle = \langle 11,10,5 \rangle' \langle 10,9,5,2 \rangle^* \langle 10,8,5,2 \rangle^* \langle 10,7,5,4 \rangle^*, \\ & \langle 21,3,2 \rangle \langle 14,10,2 \rangle \langle 13,10,3 \rangle \quad \backslash \quad \langle 10,7,4,3,2 \rangle \langle 10,6,5,3,2 \rangle \\ & \langle 21,3,2 \rangle' \langle 14,10,2 \rangle' \langle 13,10,3 \rangle' \quad / \quad \langle 11,10,3,2 \rangle^* \quad \backslash \quad \langle 10,7,4,3,2 \rangle' \langle 10,6,5,3,2 \rangle', \\ & \langle 20,6 \rangle^* \langle 17,9 \rangle^* \langle 11,9,6 \rangle = \langle 11,9,6 \rangle' \langle 10,9,6,1 \rangle^* \langle 9,8,6,3 \rangle^* \langle 9,7,6,4 \rangle^*, \\ & \langle 20,5,1 \rangle \langle 16,9,1 \rangle \langle 12,9,5 \rangle \quad \backslash \quad \langle 9,8,5,3,1 \rangle \langle 9,7,5,4,1 \rangle \\ & \langle 20,5,1 \rangle' \langle 16,9,1 \rangle' \langle 12,9,5 \rangle' \quad / \quad \langle 11,9,5,1 \rangle^* \quad \backslash \quad \langle 9,8,5,3,1 \rangle' \langle 9,7,5,4,1 \rangle', \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle 19,7 \rangle^* \_ \langle 18,8 \rangle^* \_ \langle 11,8,7 \rangle = \langle 11,8,7 \rangle' \_ \langle 10,8,7,1 \rangle^* \_ \langle 9,8,7,2 \rangle^* \_ \langle 8,7,6,5 \rangle^*, \\
 & \quad \langle 19,6,1 \rangle \_ \langle 17,8,1 \rangle \_ \langle 12,8,6 \rangle \quad \backslash \quad \langle 11,8,6,1 \rangle^* \quad / \quad \langle 9,8,6,2,1 \rangle \_ \langle 8,7,6,4,1 \rangle \\
 & \quad \langle 19,6,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 17,8,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 12,8,6 \rangle' \quad / \quad \langle 9,8,6,2,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 8,7,6,4,1 \rangle' \\
 & \quad \langle 19,5,2 \rangle \_ \langle 16,8,2 \rangle \_ \langle 13,8,5 \rangle \quad \backslash \quad \langle 11,8,5,2 \rangle^* \quad / \quad \langle 10,8,5,2,1 \rangle \_ \langle 8,7,5,4,2 \rangle \\
 & \quad \langle 19,5,2 \rangle' \_ \langle 16,8,2 \rangle' \_ \langle 13,8,5 \rangle' \quad / \quad \langle 11,8,5,2 \rangle^* \quad / \quad \langle 10,8,5,2,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 8,7,5,4,2 \rangle'
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle 19,4,2,1 \rangle^* \_ \langle 15,8,2,1 \rangle^* \_ \langle 13,8,4,1 \rangle^* \_ \langle 12,8,4,2 \rangle^* \_ \langle 11,8,4,2,1 \rangle = \\ \langle 11,8,4,2,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 8,6,5,4,2 \rangle^*,$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \langle 18,6,2 \rangle \_\langle 17,7,2 \rangle \_\langle 13,7,6 \rangle \\ \backslash \\ \langle 18,6,2 \rangle' \_\langle 17,7,2 \rangle' \_\langle 13,7,6 \rangle' \end{array} / \begin{array}{c} \langle 11,7,6,2 \rangle^* \\ \backslash \\ \langle 10,7,6,2,1 \rangle \_\langle 8,7,6,3,2 \rangle \\ \backslash \\ \langle 10,7,6,2,1 \rangle' \_\langle 8,7,6,3,2 \rangle' \end{array},$$

$$\langle 18,5,3 \rangle \text{---} \langle 16,7,3 \rangle \text{---} \langle 14,7,5 \rangle \quad \backslash \quad \langle 11,7,5,3 \rangle^* \quad / \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle 10,7,5,3,1 \rangle \text{---} \langle 9,7,5,3,2 \rangle \\ & \langle 18,5,3 \rangle' \text{---} \langle 16,7,3 \rangle' \text{---} \langle 14,7,5 \rangle' \quad / \quad \langle 10,7,5,3,1 \rangle' \text{---} \langle 9,7,5,3,2 \rangle' \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle 18,5,2,1 \rangle^* \_ \langle 16,7,2,1 \rangle^* \_ \langle 13,7,5,1 \rangle^* \_ \langle 12,7,5,2 \rangle^* \_ \langle 11,7,5,2,1 \rangle = \\ \langle 11,7,5,2,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 8,7,5,3,2,1 \rangle^*,$$

$$\langle 17,4,3,2 \rangle^* \_ \langle 15,6,3,2 \rangle^* \_ \langle 14,6,4,2 \rangle^* \_ \langle 13,6,4,3 \rangle^* \_ \langle 11,6,4,3,2 \rangle = \\ \langle 11,6,4,3,2 \rangle' \_ \langle 10,6,4,3,2,1 \rangle^*,$$

$\langle 16,4,3,2,1 \rangle \_ \langle 15,5,3,2,1 \rangle \_ \langle 14,5,4,2,1 \rangle \_ \langle 13,5,4,3,1 \rangle \_ \langle 12,5,4,3,2 \rangle$     \\\  
 $\langle 16,4,3,2,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 15,5,3,2,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 14,5,4,2,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 13,5,4,3,1 \rangle' \_ \langle 12,5,4,3,2 \rangle'$     /     $\langle 11,5,4,3,2,1 \rangle^*$   
 respectively.

**Proof.** For  $B_4$ , we use the  $(r, \bar{r})$ -inducing p.i.s. of  $D_{25}, D_{41}, D_{43}, D_{44}, \dots, D_{48}$ , of  $S_{25}$  to  $S_{26}$  we get  $k_1, k_2, d_{70}, d_{71}, \dots, d_{75}$ , and since it's associate(see [2]), so  $\langle 23, 2, 1 \rangle \neq \langle 23, 2, 1 \rangle'$  it follows that  $k_1$  splits or there are two columns:

$$Y_1 = a_1\langle 23,2,1 \rangle + a_2\langle 13,12,1 \rangle + a_3\langle 12,11,2,1 \rangle^* + a_4\langle 12,8,3,2,1 \rangle + a_5\langle 12,7,4,2,1 \rangle + a_6\langle 12,6,5,2,1 \rangle,$$

$$Y_2 = a_1\langle 23,2,1 \rangle' + a_2\langle 13,12,1 \rangle' + a_3\langle 12,11,2,1 \rangle^* + a_4\langle 12,8,3,2,1 \rangle' + a_5\langle 12,7,4,2,1 \rangle' + a_6\langle 12,6,5,2,1 \rangle',$$

so that  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6 \in \{0,1\}$ [7]. Let  $a_1 = 1$ . Since  $\langle 23,2,1 \rangle \downarrow S_{25} \cap \langle 12,8,3,2,1 \rangle \downarrow S_{25}$  has no i.m.s, so  $a_4 = 0$ . The same way, we find  $a_5, a_6 = 0$ . But  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $a_1, a_2 = 1, a_3 = 0$ . It follows that  $k_1 = d_{66} + d_{67}$ . Since  $B_4$  of defect one. So  $k_2 = d_{68} + d_{69}$  [3]. In the same way  $B_6$ , we use  $(r, \bar{r})$ -inducing and to get  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_5$ . Since it's associate[5]. So  $k_1$  divided or there are columns:

$$Y_1 = a_1\langle 21,3,1 \rangle + a_2\langle 14,10,2 \rangle + a_3\langle 13,10,3 \rangle + a_4\langle 11,10,3,2 \rangle^* + a_5\langle 10,7,4,3,2 \rangle + a_6\langle 10,6,5,3,2 \rangle,$$

$Y_2 = a_1\langle 21,3,1 \rangle' + a_2\langle 14,10,2 \rangle' + a_3\langle 13,10,3 \rangle' + a_4\langle 11,10,3,2 \rangle^* + a_5\langle 10,7,4,3,2 \rangle' + a_6\langle 10,6,5,3,2 \rangle'$ ,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6 \in \{0,1\}$ . Let  $a_1 = 1$ , and  $\langle 21,3,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{25} \cap \langle 13,10,3,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{25}$  has

no i.m.s. So  $a_3 = 0$ . In the same way we get  $a_4, a_5, a_6 = 0$ . Then  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $a_1, a_2 = 1, a_3 = 0$  so  $k_1 = d_{81} + d_{82}$ . In the same way we divided  $k_2, k_5$  to  $d_{83}, d_{84}, d_{89}, d_{90}$  respectively. But  $B_6$  of defect one so  $k_3, k_4$  splits to  $d_{85}, d_{86}, d_{87}, d_{88}$ . In the way we prove the blocks  $B_{11}, B_{13}, B_{14}$ . For  $B_8$ , we use the  $(r, \bar{r})$ -inducing to get on  $d_{96}, d_{97}, k_1, k_2, d_{102}, \dots, d_{105}$ . Since it's associate so  $k_1$  divided or there  $Y_1, Y_2$  such that:

$$Y_1 = a_1\langle 16, 9, 1 \rangle + a_2\langle 12, 9, 5 \rangle + a_3\langle 11, 9, 5, 1 \rangle^* + a_4\langle 9, 8, 5, 3, 1 \rangle + a_5\langle 9, 7, 5, 4, 1 \rangle,$$

$$Y_2 = a_1\langle 16, 9, 1 \rangle' + a_2\langle 12, 9, 5 \rangle' + a_3\langle 11, 9, 5, 1 \rangle^* + a_4\langle 9, 8, 5, 3, 1 \rangle' + a_5\langle 9, 7, 5, 4, 1 \rangle',$$

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5 \in \{0, 1\}.$$

In the same above  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $a_1, a_2 = 1$  so  $k_1 = d_{96} + d_{97}$ . But it's of defect one so  $k_2 = d_{100} + d_{101}$ . In the same way mentioned above we discuss the block  $B_{10}$  and  $B_{17}$ . The other we found it by use the  $(r, \bar{r})$ -inducing from  $S_{25}$  to  $S_{26}$  directly. Finally we find the Brauer trees for the blocks  $B_3, B_4, \dots, B_{17}$  of the decomposition that we found [7].

**Lemma (2).** Decomposition matrix for the block  $B_2$  of a double [7] is a table (1).

table (1)

**Proof.** Using  $(r, \bar{r})$ -inducing of p.i.s.  $D_{21}, D_{23}, D_3, D_4, \dots, D_8, D_{39}, D_{10}, D_{11}, D_{45}, D_{47}, D_{158}, D_{39}, D_{16}, D_{53}, D_{55}, D_{19}, D_{20}$  of  $S_{25}$  to  $S_{26}$ , we got  $d_{41}, d_{42}, \dots, d_{60}$  respectively. Since  $(d_{45} - d_{46}) \downarrow_{(1,0)} S_{25}$  is not p.s., so  $d_{46} \notin d_{45}$ , consequently we get the table (1).

**Theorem (3).** Decomposition matrix for spin character of  $S_{26}$  the block  $B_1$  is table (2).

table (2)

**Proof.** By using  $(r, \bar{r})$ -inducing of  $S_{25}$  to  $S_{26}$ , we get approximation matrix in table (3).



---

table(3)

Since  $(k_4 - k_5) \downarrow_{(8,4)} S_{25}$  is not p.s., so  $k_5 \notin k_4$ . However  $k_9 \subset c$  we prove that by the way of contradiction. Let  $(\langle 14,8,4 \rangle - \langle 15,6,5 \rangle)$  is m.s. for  $S_{26}$  but  $\langle 14,8,4 \rangle - \langle 15,6,5 \rangle \downarrow_{(8,4)} S_{25}$  is not m.s., so:  $k_6 = c - k_9$ .

From [3]  $k_1$  must split to  $d_1, d_2$ . Also  $\langle 20,4,2 \rangle \neq \langle 20,4,2 \rangle'$  on  $(11, \alpha)$ -regular classes (see[4]). So  $k_2 = d_5 + d_6$  or there are two columns  $Y_1, Y_2$  then we have the approximation matrix as above, to describe it's such that  $\langle 21,4,1 \rangle \downarrow S_{25}$  has 4 of i.m.s. and, from the table(3) we get  $a_1 \in \{0,1,2\}$ , in the same way we get  $a_3, a_4, a_{11}, a_{18}, a_{24} \in \{0,1\}, a_2, a_5, a_6, a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23} \in \{0,1,2\}, a_7, a_8, a_{15}, a_{17}, a_{19} \in \{0,1, \dots, 4\}, a_{10}, a_{13} \in \{0,1, \dots, 5\}, a_9, a_{12}, a_{14}, a_{16}, a_{20} \in \{0,1, \dots, 6\}$ . Take  $a_2 \in \{1,2\}$  (if  $a_2 = 0$  then we have contradiction) and, since  $\langle 20,4,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{25} \cap \langle 17,5,4 \rangle \downarrow S_{25}$  has no i.m.s, so we have  $a_4 = 0$  by counting the intersections we get on  $a_5, a_6, a_{11}, a_{13}, a_{14}, \dots, a_{24}$  are equal to zero, and since inducing m.s. is m.s. [8] we have:

$$(\langle 21,4 \rangle - \langle 15,10 \rangle + \langle 11,10,4 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(1,11)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_1 \geq a_7 \quad (1)$$

$$(\langle 15,10 \rangle - \langle 21,4 \rangle) \uparrow^{(1,11)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_7 \geq a_1. \text{ Hence } a_1 = a_7 \quad (2)$$

$$(\langle 20,4,1 \rangle^* - \langle 15,9,1 \rangle + \langle 12,9,4 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_2 \geq a_8 \quad (3)$$

$$(\langle 15,9,1 \rangle^* - \langle 20,4,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_8 \geq a_2. \text{ Hence } a_2 = a_8 \quad (4)$$

$$(\langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* - \langle 15,7,3 \rangle^* + \langle 16,6,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{12} \geq a_{10} \quad (5)$$

$$(\langle 15,7,3 \rangle^* - \langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* + \langle 13,9,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{10} \geq a_{12}. \text{ Hence } a_{10} = a_{12} \quad (6)$$

$$(\langle 20,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 21,3,1 \rangle^* - \langle 18,4,3 \rangle^* + \langle 22,3 \rangle + \langle 17,5,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_2 \geq a_1 + a_3 \quad (7)$$

$$(\langle 18,4,3 \rangle + \langle 21,3,1 \rangle^* - \langle 20,3,2 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_3 + a_1 \geq a_2. \text{ Hence } a_2 = a_1 + a_3 \quad (8)$$

then  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $Y_1 + Y_2 = n_1 k_2 + n_2 k_3 + n_3 k_9$ ,  $n_2 = 0, n_1 \in \{1,2\}$ ,  $n_3 \in \{0,1, \dots, 5\}$  or  $n_2 = 1, n_1 \in \{0,1\}, n_3 \in \{0,1, \dots, 5\}$ . So  $k_2 = d_5 + d_6$ .

Also  $\langle 19,4,3 \rangle \neq \langle 19,4,3 \rangle'$  on  $(11, \alpha)$  – regular classes . It follows that  $k_3$  or  $k_4$  splits or there are  $Y_1, Y_2$  . Let  $a_3 = 1$ , and use the same way above, we get:

$$Y_1 = a_2 \langle 20,4,2 \rangle + \langle 19,4,3 \rangle + a_8 \langle 15,9,2 \rangle + a_9 \langle 15,8,3 \rangle + a_{10} \langle 15,7,4 \rangle + a_{12} \langle 14,8,4 \rangle,$$

$$Y_2 = a_2 \langle 20,4,2 \rangle' + \langle 19,4,3 \rangle' + a_8 \langle 15,9,2 \rangle' + a_9 \langle 15,8,3 \rangle' + a_{10} \langle 15,7,4 \rangle' + a_{12} \langle 14,8,4 \rangle'$$

Since

$$(\langle 20,4,1 \rangle^* - \langle 15,9,1 \rangle^* + \langle 12,9,4 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_2 \geq a_8 \quad (9)$$

$$(\langle 15,9,1 \rangle^* - \langle 20,4,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_8 \geq a_2. \text{ Hence } a_2 = a_8 \quad (10)$$

$$(\langle 15,7,3 \rangle^* - \langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* + \langle 13,9,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{10} \geq a_{12} \quad (11)$$

$$(\langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* - \langle 15,7,3 \rangle^* + \langle 16,6,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{12} \geq a_{10}. \text{ Hence } a_{10} = a_{12} \quad (12)$$

$$(\langle 15,8,2 \rangle - \langle 19,4,2 \rangle - \langle 13,8,4 \rangle + \langle 11,8,4,2 \rangle) \uparrow^{(9,3)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_9 \geq a_3 + a_{10} \quad (13)$$

$$(\langle 19,4,2 \rangle + \langle 13,8,4 \rangle - \langle 15,8,2 \rangle) \uparrow^{(9,3)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_3 + a_{10} \geq a_9.$$

$$\text{Hence } a_9 = a_3 + a_{10} \quad (14)$$

$$(\langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* - \langle 14,9,2 \rangle^* + \langle 14,10,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{10} + a_9 \geq a_2 \quad (15)$$

$$(\langle 14,9,2 \rangle^* - \langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* + \langle 13,9,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_2 \geq a_9 + a_{10}.$$

$$\text{Hence } a_2 = a_9 + a_{10}, \quad (16)$$

then  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $a_2, a_3, a_8, a_9 = 1, a_{10}, a_{12} = 0$ . So  $k_3 = d_7 + d_8$ .

Also  $\langle 17,5,4 \rangle \neq \langle 17,5,4 \rangle'$  on  $(11, \alpha)$ -regular classes. Suppose that  $a_4 = 1$ . Using the same technic then we get  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $a_4, a_5 = 1$ . So  $k_4, k_5$  are split to  $d_9, d_{10}, d_{11}, d_{12}$  respectively.

For  $k_6$ , we have  $\langle 16,6,4 \rangle \neq \langle 16,6,4 \rangle'$ . So it's splits or there are other  $Y_1, Y_2$ . So let  $a_5 \in \{1,2\}$ . By restricting and inducing, we get  $Y_1 = a_5 \langle 16,6,4 \rangle + a_9 \langle 15,8,3 \rangle + a_{10} \langle 15,7,4 \rangle + a_{11} \langle 15,6,5 \rangle + a_{12} \langle 14,8,4 \rangle$ ,  $Y_2 = a_5 \langle 16,6,4 \rangle' + a_9 \langle 15,8,3 \rangle' + a_{10} \langle 15,7,4 \rangle' + a_{11} \langle 15,6,5 \rangle' + a_{12} \langle 14,8,4 \rangle'$  and since

$$(\langle 13,8,4 \rangle^* + \langle 19,4,2 \rangle^* - \langle 15,8,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(9,3)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{12} \geq a_9 \quad (17)$$

$$(\langle 15,8,2 \rangle^* - \langle 13,8,4 \rangle^* + \langle 11,8,4,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(9,3)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_9 \geq a_{12}. \text{ Hence } a_9 = a_{12}, \quad (18)$$

then  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $Y_1 + Y_2 = k_6 + nk_9, n \in \{0,1,\dots,4\}$ . So  $k_6 = d_{13} + d_{14}$ .

For  $k_8$ , we have  $\langle 15,9,2 \rangle \neq \langle 15,9,2 \rangle'$ . Let  $a_8 \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ . By restricting and inducing, we get:  $Y_1 = a_8 \langle 15,9,2 \rangle + a_9 \langle 15,8,3 \rangle + a_{10} \langle 15,7,4 \rangle + a_{12} \langle 14,8,4 \rangle + a_{13} \langle 13,9,4 \rangle + a_{14} \langle 12,10,4 \rangle$ ,  $Y_2 = a_8 \langle 15,9,2 \rangle' + a_9 \langle 15,8,3 \rangle' + a_{10} \langle 15,7,4 \rangle' + a_{12} \langle 14,8,4 \rangle' + a_{13} \langle 13,9,4 \rangle' + a_{14} \langle 12,10,4 \rangle'$  and, since

$$(\langle 15,10 \rangle + \langle 15,10 \rangle' + \langle 10,9,4,2 \rangle + \langle 10,9,4,2 \rangle' - \langle 11,10,4 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(1,11)} S_{26} \Rightarrow 0 \geq a_{14}. \text{ Hence } a_{14} = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$(\langle 15,9,1 \rangle^* - \langle 12,9,4 \rangle^* + \langle 11,9,4,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_8 \geq a_{13} \quad (20)$$

$$(\langle 12,9,4 \rangle^* - \langle 15,9,1 \rangle^* + \langle 20,4,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{13} \geq a_8. \text{ Hence } a_8 = a_{13} \quad (21)$$

$$(\langle 15,8,2 \rangle^* - \langle 13,8,4 \rangle^* + \langle 11,8,4,2 \rangle) \uparrow^{(9,3)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_9 \geq a_{12} \quad (22)$$

$$(\langle 13,8,4 \rangle - \langle 15,8,2 \rangle^* + \langle 19,4,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(9,3)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{12} \geq a_9. \text{ Hence } a_9 = a_{12}, \quad (23)$$

then  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $Y_1 + Y_2 = n_1 k_8 + n_2 k_9$ , such that  $n_1 \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ ,  $n_2 \in \{0,1,\dots,6-n_1\}$ , so  $k_8 = d_{19} + d_{20}$ .

Also  $\langle 15,8,3 \rangle \neq \langle 15,8,3 \rangle'$ . Let  $a_9 \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ . By restricting and inducing we get:

$Y_1 = a_9 \langle 15,8,3 \rangle + a_{10} \langle 15,7,4 \rangle + a_{11} \langle 15,6,5 \rangle + a_{12} \langle 14,8,4 \rangle + a_{13} \langle 13,9,4 \rangle + a_{16} \langle 11,9,4,2 \rangle^* + a_{17} \langle 11,8,4,3 \rangle^*$ ,  $Y_2 = a_9 \langle 15,8,3 \rangle' + a_{10} \langle 15,7,4 \rangle' + a_{11} \langle 15,6,5 \rangle' + a_{12} \langle 14,8,4 \rangle' + a_{13} \langle 13,9,4 \rangle' + a_{16} \langle 11,9,4,2 \rangle^* + a_{17} \langle 11,8,4,3 \rangle^*$  and since

$$(\langle 12,9,4 \rangle^* - \langle 11,9,4,1 \rangle + \langle 9,8,4,3,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{13} \geq a_{16} \quad (24)$$

$$(\langle 11,9,4,1 \rangle - \langle 12,9,4 \rangle^* + \langle 15,9,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{16} \geq a_{13}. \text{ Hence } a_{13} = a_{16} \quad (25)$$

$$(\langle 16,6,3 \rangle^* - \langle 14,6,5 \rangle^* + \langle 11,6,5,3 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow 0 \geq a_{11}. \text{ Hence } a_{11} = 0 \quad (26)$$

$$(\langle 10,9,4,2 \rangle - \langle 10,8,4,3 \rangle + \langle 10,6,5,4 \rangle) \uparrow^{(1,11)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{13} \geq a_{17} \quad (27)$$

$$(\langle 10,8,4,3 \rangle - \langle 10,9,4,2 \rangle^* + \langle 11,10,4 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(1,11)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{17} \geq a_{13}.$$

$$\text{Hence } a_{13} = a_{17} \quad (28)$$

$$(\langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* + \langle 15,6,5 \rangle^* - \langle 14,7,4 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_9 \geq a_{10} \quad (29)$$

$$(\langle 14,7,4 \rangle^* + \langle 14,9,2 \rangle^* - \langle 14,8,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{10} \geq a_9. \text{ Hence } a_9 = a_{10}, \quad (30)$$

then  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $Y_1 + Y_2 = n_1 k_9 + n_2 k_{11}$ ,  $n_1 = 1, n_2 \in \{0,1,\dots,4\}$  or  $n_1 \in \{2,3,\dots,5\}$ ,  $n_2 \in \{0,1,\dots,6-n_1\}$ . So  $k_9 = d_{21} + d_{22}$ .

Since  $\langle 15,6,4 \rangle \neq \langle 15,6,4 \rangle'$ , let  $a_{11} = 1$ . By restricting and inducing we get:

$$Y_1 = a_{10}\langle 15,7,4 \rangle + a_{11}\langle 15,6,5 \rangle + a_{17}\langle 11,8,4,3 \rangle^* + a_{18}\langle 11,6,5,4 \rangle^*, Y_2 = a_{10}\langle 15,7,4 \rangle' + a_{11}\langle 15,6,5 \rangle' + a_{12}\langle 14,8,4 \rangle' + a_{17}\langle 11,8,4,3 \rangle^* + a_{18}\langle 11,6,5,4 \rangle^* \text{ and, since} \\ (\langle 11,6,5,3 \rangle - \langle 11,7,4,3 \rangle + \langle 11,9,4,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{18} \geq a_{11} \quad (31)$$

We set  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $a_{10} = a_{12} = a_{17} = a_{18} = 1$ . It follows that  $k_{10} = d_{23} + d_{24}$ .

For  $k_{11}$  let  $a_{12} \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ . By restricting and inducing we get on and, since

$$(\langle 13,9,3 \rangle^* - \langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* + \langle 15,7,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{13} \geq a_{12} \quad (32)$$

$$(\langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* + \langle 12,10,3 \rangle^* - \langle 13,9,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{12} \geq a_{13}. \text{ Hence } a_{12} = a_{13} \quad (33)$$

$$(\langle 12,9,4 \rangle^* - \langle 11,9,4,1 \rangle + \langle 9,8,4,3,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{12} \geq a_{16} \quad (34)$$

$$(\langle 11,9,4,1 \rangle - \langle 12,9,4 \rangle^* + \langle 15,9,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(10,2)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{16} \geq a_{12}. \text{ Hence } a_{12} = a_{16}, \quad (35)$$

then  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $Y_1 + Y_2 = nk_{11}$ ,  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , so  $k_{11} = d_{25} + d_{26}$ .

For  $k_{12}$  let  $a_{13} \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ . By restricting and inducing, we get

$$(\langle 11,10,3,1 \rangle - \langle 11,9,3,2 \rangle + \langle 10,9,3,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 14,7,4 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{15} \geq a_{16} \quad (36)$$

$$(\langle 11,9,3,2 \rangle - \langle 11,10,3,1 \rangle + \langle 14,11 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{16} \geq a_{15}. \text{ Hence } a_{15} = a_{16} \quad (37)$$

$$(\langle 11,10,3,1 \rangle - \langle 13,9,3 \rangle^* + \langle 14,8,3 \rangle^* + \langle 14,9,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{15} \geq a_{13} \quad (38)$$

$$(\langle 13,9,3 \rangle^* - \langle 11,10,3,1 \rangle + \langle 14,11 \rangle + \langle 10,9,3,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 10,7,4,3,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{13} \geq a_{15}. \text{ Hence } a_{13} = a_{15}. \quad (39)$$

Then  $k_{12} = d_{27} + d_{28}$ . For  $k_{13}$  let  $a_{14} \in \{1, 2\}$ . By restricting and inducing we get on:

$$(\langle 11,6,5,3 \rangle - \langle 11,7,4,3 \rangle + \langle 10,9,3,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 13,9,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{17} = 0 \quad (40)$$

$$(\langle 11,10,3,1 \rangle - \langle 11,6,3,2 \rangle + \langle 11,7,4,3 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{15} \geq a_{16} \quad (41)$$

$$(\langle 11,9,3,2 \rangle - \langle 11,10,3,1 \rangle + \langle 14,11 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{16} \geq a_{15}. \text{ Hence } a_{15} = a_{16} \quad (42)$$

$$(\langle 10,6,5,3,1 \rangle^* - \langle 9,6,5,3,2 \rangle^* + \langle 10,9,3,2,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{21} \geq a_{23} \quad (43)$$

$$(\langle 9,6,5,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 10,6,5,3,1 \rangle^* + \langle 11,6,5,3 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{23} \geq a_{21}.$$

$$\text{Hence } a_{21} = a_{23}. \quad (44)$$

then  $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$  only when  $Y_1 + Y_2 = n_1 k_{13} + n_2 k_{15}$ ,  $n_1 = 0, n_2 = 1$  or  $n_1 = 1, n_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ . So  $k_{13} = d_{31} + d_{32}$ .

For  $k_{14}$ , let  $a_{24} = 1$ . By restricting and inducing then it splits to  $d_{37}$  and  $d_{38}$ . For  $k_{15}$  let  $a_{23} \in \{1, 2\}$  and since

$$(\langle 10,9,3,2,1 \rangle^* - \langle 7,6,5,4,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{24} = 0. \quad (45)$$

So it's splits to  $d_{39}$  and  $d_{40}$ . Finally we have 206 columns, and then  $k_7$  must divided into  $d_{17}$  and  $d_{18}$ . The decomposition matrix is shown in Table (3).

## References

- [1] G. D. James, A. Kerber, The representation theory of the symmetric group. Mass, Addison-Wesley(1981)
- [2] A.O. Morris, A. K. Yaseen, Decomposition matrices for spin characters of symmetric group. Proc. of Royal society of Edinburgh 108A (1988)145-164.
- [3] M. M. Jawad, On Brauer Trees and Decomposition Matrices of Spin Characters of  $S_n$  Modulo 13,11(2018).

- [4] A.O. Morris, The spin representation of the symmetric group. proc. London Math. Soc (3)12 (1962) 55-76
- [5] B.M. Puttaswamaiah, J.D. Dixon, Modular representation of finite groups. Academic Press, J.london Math. Soc 15 (1977) 445-455.
- [6] A. H. Jassim: 7-Modular Character of The Covering group  $\bar{S}_{23}$ . Journal of Basrah Researches ((Sciences)) V 43. N. 1 A (2017) 108-129.
- [7] I. Schur, Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen und der alternierenden gruppe durch gebrochene lineare substituttionen. j.Reine ang.Math 139(1911) 155-250.
- [8] J. F. Humphreys, Projective modular representations of finite groups. J. London Math. Society2.16(1977)51-66.

### **مصفوفات التجزئة للمشخصات الأسقاطية للزمرة التناظرية $S_{26}$ ، $p = 11$**

أحمد حسين جاسم ، مروى محمد جواد

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة البصرة، البصرة، العراق

#### **المستخلص**

في هذا البحث لقد وجدنا مصفوفات التجزئة للمشخصات الأسقاطية للزمرة التناظرية  $S_{26}$  ،  $p = 11$  والتي هي علاقة بين المشخصات الاعتيادية والمعيارية